



Mineral Supply Chain Due Diligence Assessment

Summary Report

Assessed Party	China Tin Smelter Co. Ltd.
Assessment Date	11/23/2023-11/24/2023
Assessed Material	Tin
LME-listed Brand	JH
Assessment Type	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Main Assessment
Assessment Result	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A
Assessment Firm	China Standard Conformity Assessment CO., LTD (CSCA)
Assessor	



Instruction

Objective

This assessment program is an independent third-party assessment conducted by China Chamber of Commerce of Metals, Minerals & Chemicals Importers & Exporters (CCCMC) based on *Chinese Due Diligence Guidelines for Mineral Supply Chain (Second Edition)*, aiming to assess the performance of the company's due diligence management system and process, and support the company to identify the gaps that need further improvements and develop corresponding improvement measures.

Basis of the Assessment

The assessment is based on *Chinese Due Diligence Guidelines for Mineral Supply Chain (Second Edition)*, hereinafter referred to as *the Guidelines*. The companies shall meet the content of "Companies should" in *the Guidelines*. "Companies should" refers to specific requirements of *the Guidelines* for companies' due diligence management, as well as the specific refinement of the requirements, which is consistent with the *OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Supply Chains of Minerals from Conflict-Affected and High-Risk Areas (Third Edition)*.

Explanation of Conformity Degree

Conformity: Indicates that the company's management system, policies, procedures or processes meet the requirements of corresponding provisions of *the Guidelines*.

Need improvement: Indicates that the management system, policies, procedures or processes partially meet the requirements of corresponding provisions of *the Guidelines*, but lack some necessary elements or content, or can not prove or lack evidence to prove that they are substantively functional, and further measures are needed to improve its performance.

Non-conformity: Indicates that the company's management system, policies, procedures, or processes cannot meet the requirements of corresponding provisions of *the Guidelines*, resulting in systematic and repetitive non-conformities.



Not applicable: Indicates that corresponding provisions of *the Guidelines* do not apply to the Company.

Zero tolerance: If any of the following situations occurs, it is a "zero tolerance" situation.

1. Misrepresentation, deliberately forging false evidence or vouchers;
2. Any form of support for illegal control, or illegal taxation and extortion by non-state armed groups;
3. Any form of torture, cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment;
4. Directly cause or contribute to forced or compulsory labor;
5. Cause



items does not exceed 10% of all applicable items.

Level B: the percentage of "Need improvement" items does not exceed 35% of all applicable items, and the percentage of "non-conformity" items does not exceed 20% of all applicable items.

Level C: The above level cannot be reached or there is any "zero tolerance" situation.


Description of Assessment Type

The assessment is divided into main assessment and tracking assessment. The main assessment needs to be carried out once a year. The tracking assessment is conducted every six months or during the re-assessment (main assessment) based on the conformity degree and assessment results.



CCCMC

1. Assessed Party



Step 2: Risk Identification and Assessment	
Conduct warning signs review, and identify the scope of the risk assessment of the mineral supply chain based on the results of warning signs review.	The Company has developed <i>Procedure for Identification of Conflict Affected and High-Risk Areas (CAHRAs)</i> , conducted supplier warning signs review. The Company has implemented information verification procedure; and information has been verified through <i>Know Your Supplier (KYS) Questionnaire</i> . However, the Company needs to further strengthen its efforts in mineral supply chain traceability and risk identification measures.
When warning signs are identified, companies shall conduct an on-the-ground assessment of the corresponding supply chain.	During this assessment period,
Assess risks in the supply chain.	The Company carried out tin supply chain risk assessment and completed the <i>Supply Chain Risk Assessment Form</i> .
Step 3: Risk Prevention and Mitigation	
Report risk assessment results internally.	
Develop and adopt risk management plan.	The Company has formulated and approved the <i>Risk Management Plan of Responsible Mineral Supply Chain</i> , which covers mitigation strategies and risk reduction measures to address different types of risks.
Implement risk management plan, monitor and track performance of risk mitigation strategies.	The Company has formulated a <i>Monitoring Form for the Implementation of Risk Management Plan</i> to supervise and track the implementation and effectiveness of the <i>Risk Management Plan</i> on a regular basis. During the implementation of the <i>Risk Management Plan</i> , the Company needs further strengthen communication and cooperation with relevant parties such as suppliers, peer companies and industry organizations to jointly promote tin supply chain partners to continue to improve their due diligence performance.



<p>Supplementary assessment of risks that need to be mitigated. Supplementary assessment can also be conducted after actual circumstances have changed.</p>	<p>The Company requires that, after the implementation of <i>Risk Management Plan</i>, when the actual situation or the supply chain changes, risks that need to be mitigated will be further monitored and re-assessed.</p>
---	--

Step 4: Internal and External Assessment

<p>Companies should establish internal assessment system, to continuously monitor and manage due diligence performance.</p>	<p>The Company has established an internal assessment team, and carried out the internal assessment. However, further improvement is needed in terms of establishing an internal assessment system and formulating the</p>
---	--

Step 6: Provide for or Cooperate in Remediation When Appropriate	
When the company recognize that it has caused or contributed to actual adverse impacts, they should mitigate these impacts by providing for or cooperating in remediation when appropriate.	N/A
Companies provide conditions or cooperate with existing remedial mechanisms in a timely manner.	N/A